

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (MAG)

DESIGNING TRANSIT ACCESSIBLE COMMUNITIES



May 28, 2011

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
PUBLIC NOTICE.	<u>i</u>
SCOPE OF WORK.	<u>1</u>
PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS.	<u>5</u>
PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS.....	<u>8</u>
ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.....	<u>9</u>
 APPENDIX A: ARIZONA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE R4-30-301	
APPENDIX B: LABOR COST ALLOCATION BUDGET	
APPENDIX C: PROPOSER'S REGISTRATION FORM	
APPENDIX D: MAG'S KEY DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSULTANT CONTRACTS	
APPENDIX E: PROGRESS REPORT FORMAT	

PUBLIC NOTICE

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

DESIGNING TRANSIT ACCESSIBLE COMMUNITIES

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) is requesting proposals from qualified consultants for the Designing Transit Accessible Communities Project to develop strategies to make transit more accessible for bicyclists and pedestrians. The project will be completed in a maximum twelve (12) months from the date of the notice to proceed at a cost not to exceed \$200,000.00.

Detailed proposal requirements may be obtained by contacting the MAG Office at the address indicated below or may be downloaded from <http://www.azmag.gov/>, under "RFPs and RFQs" For further information, please submit questions in writing by fax to the attention of Alice Chen at (602) 254-6490, or by e-mail to Achen@azmag.gov not later than eight (8) working days prior to the closing date of June 24, 2011. Any addenda responding to questions will be posted on MAG's Web site at <http://www.azmag.gov> under "RFPs and RFQs" not later than five (5) working days prior to the closing date of June 24, 2011.

Proposals will be accepted until 1:00 pm (Mountain Standard Time) on June 24, 2011, at MAG, 302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85003.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

SCOPE OF WORK

Introduction

The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) is requesting proposals from qualified consultants for a study to develop strategies to make transit more accessible for pedestrians and bicyclists. The American Public Transit Association (APTA) defines transit access as “the segment of an individual trip that occurs between an origin or destination point and the transit system.” The goals of the study are: 1) to identify the challenges that are faced by transit users in the region when accessing transit; 2) recommend improvements, policies, and guidelines that are applicable in the MAG region; and 3) provide a cost analysis and a framework for funding options and prioritization.

Project Partners

MAG is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for transportation planning for the metropolitan Phoenix area. MAG is also the designated Air Quality Planning Agency for the region. The MAG membership consists of the 25 incorporated cities and towns within Maricopa County and the contiguous urbanized area, the Gila River Indian Community, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Maricopa County, the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), and the Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee (CTOC). ADOT and CTOC serve as ex-officio members for transportation-related issues.

Background

Currently in the MAG region, approximately 97 percent of all transit users approach the transit system by walking, biking, carpooling, or via kiss-and-ride; the remaining 3 percent drive alone and park in designated lots. Of all transit users, about 90 percent of them approach the system strictly by walking or biking. Regardless of the initial approach to transit, all final approaches are made at the pedestrian level. Therefore, while there should be an effort to balance accessibility for all users, the pedestrian component should be addressed for all modes of connectivity.

Typically, the average transit user is willing to walk one-quarter ($\frac{1}{4}$) mile to a station or stop although external factors can affect that distance. There are both soft and hard factors that affect the experience of the pedestrian transit user. Hard factors include the street design, land use, and availability of transit service. Soft factors include weather, landscaping, social experience, and personal safety.

MAG and its partners have conducted various studies related to transit user needs and transit facilities. Some of those projects include the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study, Regional Transit Framework, Regional Public Transit Authority (RPTA) Bus Stop Handbook (1993), Complete Streets Guide (2011), and the MAG Pedestrian Policies and Design Guidelines. This study will provide guidelines that can be utilized by agencies in the MAG region, with the intent of improving the safety, comfort, and experience of pedestrians and bicyclists accessing transit.

Proposed Tasks

The consultant is encouraged to be creative in developing a sound analytical approach which achieves the objectives for this project. The consultant is urged to be as specific as possible when describing the activities and anticipated outcomes for each of the tasks outlined below. However, the consultant will not be required to be limited to the proposed tasks specified below and may propose additional tasks to meet the objectives of this study. The consultant is urged to make maximum use of matrices, tables, and drawings in working papers produced for the project to ensure conciseness and clarity and to minimize the amount of text required.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

Task 1 Scope of Work

The consultant's first task will be to prepare a detailed Scope of Work that identifies the specific tasks and deliverables. In addition, throughout the course of this project, inquiry and discussion may result in some revisions to the Scope of Work and Project Schedule. As necessary, the consultant will refine the Scope of Work for this project based upon professional experience. This work will be performed under the general direction of the MAG project manager. The consultant will prepare documentation of any such revision, including a revised labor/dollar allocation and project task cost breakdown, and submit the revision to MAG for approval.

Specific tasks for developing the detailed Scope of Work will include the following:

- a. Prepare a project timeline.
- b. Assess and refine the goal and objectives of the Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study and identify work tasks necessary to achieve them.
- c. Prepare a detailed explanation of work tasks and workflow relationships, a staff resource plan, a schedule for the study process, and project deliverables.
- d. Prepare a project management plan that includes appropriate quality assurance measures.

Task 2 Existing Conditions

The intent of this task is to conduct a review of existing documents and policies in the region related to transit accessibility.

- a. Survey the findings from the MAG Regional Transit Framework Study, the MAG Complete Streets Guide, and the RPTA Bus Stop Handbook related to transit user quality of access. Review existing transit access policies or guidelines of local jurisdictions in the MAG region.
- b. Current funding for infrastructure related to transit accessibility for pedestrians and bicyclists in the region.

Task 3 Meetings and Presentations

Stakeholder input will be a critical component of the study. This will allow the consultant to better understand the needs of the region.

- a. The consultant will participate in up to five (5) meetings with stakeholders.
- b. The consultant will provide opportunities for input throughout the project development process.
- c. Working with member agencies, the consultant will identify regional needs and issues to incorporate into the study.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

Task 4 Case Studies

The intent of this task is to conduct case studies of transit stops in the region and evaluate current quality of access in a few prototypical environments. Activities in the task will include:

- a. Utilizing stakeholder input and “transportation contexts” from 2011 MAG Complete Streets Guidebook, choose transit stops in the region that can serve as models for current accessibility standards.
- b. Evaluate the accessibility conditions of the transit stop within appropriate distances for pedestrians (e.g., one-half (½) mile) and bicyclists (e.g., two (2) miles). Account for both “hard” and “soft” factors relevant to the region and the specific case study locations.
- c. Conduct on-site surveys, interview users, and document findings. Other methodologies can also include GIS mapping and or other visual aids that provide both an empirical and personal account of the accessibility challenges experienced by the pedestrian and bicyclist user.

Task 5 Develop Prototypes and Implementation Strategies

Based on findings from the case study, the consultant will develop prototypes and make recommends for implementation strategies. All prototypes and implementation strategies need to be compliant with federal regulations, including Title VI and ADA.

- a. Develop categorization of transit stops by accessibility conditions such as location, type, utilization, adjacent arterial facility, and/or any other relevant factors.
- b. For each case study, identify relevant factors of the applicable transit access areas that would improve the safety, comfort, and experience of pedestrians and bicyclists.
- c. Given the recommended improvements, produce a prototype utilizing tables, maps, and other visual aids.
- d. A set of tools which may include policy options, building codes, and zoning, for local jurisdictions in the MAG region that would facilitate the investment and recommended improvements.

Task 6 Financial Analysis

The consultant will perform a financial analysis that includes funding options and the various costs for the prototypes created in Task 5. The components will include:

- a. Costs of recommended improvements for each prototype, including initial expenditures and on-going maintenance. Consider any efficiencies that may be achieved with regional-scale improvements or maintenance.
- b. Financial requirements to address transit access improvement needs at a regional scale.
- c. Funding options, including private, local, state, and federal sources.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

Task 7 Funding Priority and Policy Guidelines

Based on the findings and recommended improvements from Task 4 and Task 5, the consultant will provide policy and funding guidelines. The components of the task will include:

- a. A framework for prioritizing and funding transit shelters, transit stops, and accessibility to transit.
- b. A list of criteria that could be used to prioritize the programming of regional funds for transit shelters, transit stops, and facilities that improve accessibility to transit.

Task 8 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Guidelines - Final Report

- a. CD containing the Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study and Summary with an easy to navigate table of contents page that provides direct links to key sections of project documents.
- b. Ten (10) paper copies of the final report and summary document

Task 9 Study Record

- a. Assemble all final products from the study tasks into a complete study record.

Deliverable Products

The deliverables of this project are listed below. Each working paper should present information in a succinct manner with extensive use of tables, matrices, and drawings as appropriate. The working papers ultimately will be consolidated into a final report. An administrative draft of each working paper will be submitted in both electronic and hard copy formats to the MAG project manager for review. Comments from the MAG project manager will be incorporated into the working paper by the consultant, before it is distributed for external review. Comments received during the external review process will be incorporated into the working paper by the consultant, which will then become a chapter in the draft final report.

Task 1	Revised Scope of Work and Project Schedule, listing specific project tasks and a schedule for completion of each task. Project Management Plan.
Task 2	Working Paper #1 – Existing Conditions
Task 3	Working Paper #2 – Case Studies
Task 5	Working Paper #3 – Develop Prototypes and Implementation Strategies
Task 6	Working Paper #4 – Financial Analysis
Task 7	Working Paper #5 – Funding Priority and Policy Guidelines
Task 8	Designing Transit Accessible Communities Guidelines final report Strategy Summary and Presentation; DVD containing the Designing Transit Accessible Communities Guidelines final report Summary with an easy to navigate table of contents page that provides direct links to key sections of project documents.
Task 9	Compilation of all electronic and hard copy study working papers, documents, and reports.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Project Cost and Schedule

The estimated time frame for this project is twelve (12) months from the date of the notice to proceed, with intermediate deliverables due in accordance with the schedule as agreed to between MAG and the Consultant at a cost not to exceed \$200,000. The date of the notice to proceed is anticipated to be October 2011.

Proposal Delivery

1. Seven (7) copies of the proposal must be submitted by 1:00 pm (Mountain Standard Time) on June 24, 2011.

Maricopa Association of Governments
Attention: Alice Chen
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Timely receipt of proposals will be determined by the date and time the proposal is received at the above address. Hand delivery is therefore encouraged. No late submissions, facsimile, or electronic submissions will be accepted.

Proposals will be opened publicly and the name of each entity submitting a proposal will be read at 1:05 pm on June 24, 2011, at the MAG Offices, Agave Room, 302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 100, Phoenix, AZ 85003.

All material submitted in response to this solicitation becomes the property of MAG and will not be returned. After contract award, the proposals shall be open for public inspection except to the extent that the withholding of information is permitted or required by law. If the offeror designates a portion of its proposal as confidential, it shall isolate and identify in writing the confidential portions in accordance with Arizona Administrative Code R2-7-103; which shall be included in the proposal. Upon receipt of your written notification, MAG will review any portions of the proposal that the proposer considers to be confidential and then make a determination on what should be released. MAG will also notify you in writing of our determination and provide you with an opportunity to respond to our decision prior to releasing the proposal.

2. Any questions regarding this Request for Proposals should be submitted in writing to Alice Chen by fax at (602) 254-6490; or by email at Achen@azmag.gov. Responses to questions submitted will be posted on the MAG Web site at <http://www.azmag.gov> not later than eight (8) working days prior to the closing date of June 24, 2011. Any addenda responding to questions will be posted on the MAG Web site at <http://www.azmag.gov> under "RFPs and RFQs" not later than five (5) working days prior to the closing date of June 24, 2011. Additional information regarding MAG activities, including Committee meeting schedules, may be found on the MAG Web site at <http://www.azmag.gov>.
3. A proposer's conference for the project has been scheduled for 3:00 PM MST, June 8, 2011, at the Valley Metro Rail Board Room, Suite 100, 101 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix, AZ. If you wish to receive notes from the proposer's conference and a list of attendees, please contact the MAG project manager at Achen@azmag.gov.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

Proposal Content

It is required that the proposal:

1. Be limited to a maximum length of 25 (twenty-five) pages, not including a cover letter, résumés, and any appendices. The cover letter must be signed by a party authorized to bind the entity submitting the proposal.
2. Be prefaced by a brief statement describing the proposer's organization and outlining its approach to completing the work required by this solicitation. This statement shall illustrate the proposer's overall understanding of the project.
3. Contain a work plan which concisely explains how the consultant will carry out the objectives of the project. In the work plan, the proposer shall describe each project task and proposed approach to the task as clearly and thoroughly as possible.
4. Include a preliminary schedule for the project in bar-chart format. Indicate all work plan tasks and their durations. The schedule shall clearly identify project deliverable dates.
5. Contain a staffing plan for the project. The plan shall include the following in table format:
 - a. A project organization chart, identifying the project manager.
 - b. Names of key project team members and/or subconsultants. Only those personnel who will be working directly on the project should be cited.
 - c. The role and responsibility of each team member.
 - d. Percent effort (time) of each team member for the contract period.
 - e. The role and level of MAG technical staff support, if any.
6. Include résumés for major staff members assigned to the project. These résumés should focus on their experience in this type of project.
7. Each firm submitting a proposal is required to certify that it will comply with, in all respects, the rules of professional conduct set forth in A.C.R.R. R4-30-301 (see Appendix A), which is the official compilation of the Rules of Professional Conduct from the Administrative Rules and Regulations for the State of Arizona.
8. Include proposer's recent experience (last five years) in performing work similar to that anticipated herein. This description shall include the following:
 - a. Date of project.
 - b. Name and address of client organization.
 - c. Name and telephone number of individual in the client organization who is familiar with the project.
 - d. Short description of project.
 - e. Consultant team members involved and their roles.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

9. A labor cost allocation budget formatted as noted in Appendix B.
10. All firms proposing on this project will be required to include a "Proposer's Registration Form" (See Appendix C) in the submitted proposal. In addition, a "Proposer's Registration Form" is required to be included for each subcontractor proposed for this project.
11. The Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) requirements in the Code of Federal Regulations Title 49, Part 26 will apply to this Contract. See Appendix D, "MAG's Key DBE Regulatory Requirements". A complete copy of MAG's DBE program is available on request.
12. Each firm shall document within its proposal any potential conflicts of interest. A conflict of interest shall be cause for disqualifying a consultant from consideration. A potential conflict of interest includes, but is not limited to:
 - a. Accepting an assignment where duty to the client would conflict with the consultant's personal interest, or interest of another client.
 - b. Performing work for a client or having an interest which conflicts with this contract.
 - c. Employing personnel who worked for MAG or one of its member agencies within the past three years.
 - d. All relationships with MAG and/or any employees of MAG.

MAG will be the final determining body as to whether a conflict of interest exists.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

1. All proposals will be evaluated by an evaluation team consisting of MAG staff and MAG member agency staff. Evaluation criteria include the following:
 - a. Demonstrated understanding of the project through a well-defined work plan consistent with program objectives.
 - b. Clarity of proposal, realistic approach, technical soundness, and enhancements to elements outlined in this Request for Proposals.
 - c. Experience of the project manager and other project personnel in similar studies. Only those personnel assigned to work directly on the project should be cited.
 - d. Proven track record in this area of study. Proposers should identify the principal people who worked on past projects and the amount of time they devoted to the work effort.
 - e. Availability of key personnel throughout the project effort.
 - f. Price, except for the procurement of architectural or engineering (A&E) services.
 - g. Ability and commitment to complete the project within the specified time period, meet all deadlines for submitting associated work products, and ensure quality control.
 - h. Recognition of work priorities and flexibility to deal with change and contingencies.
2. On the basis of the above evaluation criteria, selected firms submitting proposals may be interviewed prior to the selection of a consultant. In-person interviews may be scheduled for the morning of July 22 , 2011. It is anticipated that firms selected for interviews will be contacted approximately one week prior to the in-person interview date. MAG strongly suggests that the project manager and key members of the consultant team be present at the in-person interview.
3. MAG may conduct discussions with offerors who submit proposals determined to be reasonably susceptible of being selected for award.
4. MAG reserves the right to:
 - a. Cancel this solicitation.
 - b. Reject any and all proposals and re-advertise.
 - c. Select the proposal(s) that, in its judgment, will best meet its needs.
 - d. Negotiate a contract that covers selected parts of a proposal, or a contract that will be interrupted for a period or terminated for lack of funds.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

1. This Request for Proposals is for a cost-reimbursement plus fixed fee contract.
2. During the course of the project, a monthly progress report is required to be submitted within ten (10) working days after the end of each month until the final report is submitted. Each report shall include a comprehensive narrative of the activities performed during the month, an estimated percent complete for each project task, monthly and cumulative costs by task, activities of any subcontractors, payments to any subcontractors, a discussion of any notable issues or problems being addressed, and a discussion of anticipated activities for the next month (See Appendix E for format).
3. MAG shall retain ten percent (10%) of the contract amount, withheld from each invoice, as final payment until completion of the project to the satisfaction and acceptance of the work. Final payment shall be made after acceptance of the final product and invoice.
4. An audit examination of the consultant's records may be required.
5. The firm that is selected will be required to comply with Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The contractor will comply with Executive Order 11246, entitled Equal Employment Opportunity, as amended by Executive Order 11375 and as supplemented in Department of Labor Regulations (41 CFR Part 60). The contractor will comply with MAG's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) program as supplemented in the U.S. Department of Transportation Regulation (USDOT), 49 CFR Part 26. The contractor will also be required to comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the U.S. Department of Transportation.
6. The firm selected will be required to comply with MAG insurance requirements, which may include: Workmen's Compensation, Architects and Engineers Professional Liability insurance, Commercial General Liability insurance, Business Automobile Liability insurance, and Valuable Papers insurance.
7. The firm selected is required to document any potential conflicts of interest during the contract period. A conflict of interest shall be cause for terminating a contract. A potential conflict of interest includes, but is not limited to:
 - a. Accepting an assignment where duty to the client would conflict with the consultant's personal interest, or interest of another client.
 - b. Performing work for a client or having an interest which conflicts with this contract.
 - c. Employing personnel who worked for MAG or one of its member agencies within the past three years.

MAG will be the final determining body as to whether a conflict of interest exists.

APPENDIX A

ARIZONA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE R4-30-301

ARTICLE 3. REGULATORY PROVISIONS

R4-30-301. Rules of Professional Conduct

All registrants shall comply with the following rules of professional conduct:

1. A registrant shall not submit any materially false statements or fail to disclose any material facts requested in connection with an application for registration or certification, or in response to a subpoena.
2. A registrant shall not engage in fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or concealment of material facts in advertising, soliciting, or providing professional services to members of the public.
3. A registrant shall not commit bribery of a public servant as proscribed in A.R.S. § 13-2602, commit commercial bribery as proscribed in A.R.S. § 13-2605, or violate any federal statute concerning bribery.
4. A registrant shall comply with state, municipal, and county laws, codes, ordinances, and regulations pertaining to the registrant's area of practice.
5. A registrant shall not violate any state or federal criminal statute involving dishonesty, fraud, misrepresentation, embezzlement, theft, forgery, perjury, bribery, or breach of fiduciary duty, if the violation is reasonably related to the registrant's area of practice.
6. A registrant shall apply the technical knowledge and skill that would be applied by other qualified registrants who practice the same profession in the same area and at the same time.
7. A registrant shall not accept an engagement if the duty to a client or the public would conflict with the registrant's personal interest or the interest of another client without making a full written disclosure of all material facts of the conflict to each person who might be related to or affected by the engagement.
8. A registrant shall not accept compensation for services related to the same engagement from more than one party without making a full written disclosure of all material facts to all parties and obtaining the express written consent of all parties involved.
9. A registrant shall make full disclosure to all parties concerning:
 - a. Any transaction involving payments to any person for the purpose of securing a contract, assignment, or engagement, except payments for actual and substantial technical assistance in preparing the proposal; or
 - b. Any monetary, financial, or beneficial interest the registrant holds in a contracting firm or other entity providing goods or services, other than the registrant's professional services, to a project or engagement.
8. A registrant shall not solicit, receive, or accept compensation from material, equipment, or other product or services suppliers for specifying or endorsing their products, goods or services to any client or other person without full written disclosure to all parties.
9. If a registrant's professional judgment is overruled or not adhered to under circumstances where a serious threat to the public health, safety, or welfare may result, the registrant shall immediately notify the responsible party, appropriate building official, or agency, and the Board of the specific nature of the public threat.

Maricopa Association of Governments - FY 2012 Designing Transit Accessible Communities Study

10. If called upon or employed as an arbitrator to interpret contracts, to judge contract performance, or to perform any other arbitration duties, the registrant shall render decisions impartially and without bias to any party.
11. To the extent applicable to the professional engagement, a registrant shall conduct a land survey engagement in accordance with the April 12, 2001 Arizona Professional Lands Surveyors Association (APLS) Arizona Boundary Survey Minimum Standards, as adopted by the Board on June 15, 2001, the provisions of which are incorporated in this subsection by reference and on file with the Office of the Secretary of State. This incorporation by reference does not include any later amendments or editions and is available at the Board's office and APLS at <http://www.aia.org>.
12. A registrant shall comply with any subpoena issued by the Board or its designated administrative law judge.
13. A registrant shall update the registrant's address and telephone number of record with the Board within 30 days of the date of any change.
14. A registrant shall not sign, stamp, or seal any professional documents not prepared by the registrant or a bona fide employee of the registrant.
15. Except as provided in subsections (18) and (19), a registrant shall not accept any professional engagement or assignment outside the registrant's professional registration category unless:
 - a. The registrant is qualified by education, technical knowledge, or experience to perform the work; and
 - b. The work is exempt under A.R.S. § 32-143.
8. A registered professional engineer may accept professional engagements or assignments in branches of engineering other than that branch in which the registrant has demonstrated proficiency by registration but only if the registrant has the education, technical knowledge, or experience to perform such engagements or assignments.
9. Except as otherwise provided by law, a registrant may act as the prime professional for a given project and select collaborating professionals; however, the registrant shall perform only those professional services for which the registrant is qualified by registration to perform and shall seal and sign only the work prepared by the registrant or by the registrant's bona fide employee.
10. A registrant who is designated as a responsible registrant shall be responsible for the firm or corporation. The Board may impose disciplinary action on the responsible registrant for any violation of Board statutes or rules that is committed by a non-registrant employee, firm, or corporation.
11. A registrant shall not enter into a contract for expert witness services on a contingency fee basis or any other arrangement in a disputed matter where the registrant's fee is directly related to the outcome of the dispute.

Amended by final rulemaking at 12 A.A.R. 1609, effective July 1, 2006 (Supp. 06-2).

APPENDIX B

LABOR COST ALLOCATION BUDGET

LABOR COST ALLOCATION BUDGET - SAMPLE

Available in Excel on request

PRIME CONSULTANT		Hours by Personnel and Task Description					
	Raw Direct	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4		
Personnel	Hourly Rate	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	Total Hours	Total Cost
Personnel 1	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 2	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 3	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 4	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 5	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 6	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 7	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 8	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 9	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 10	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 11	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 12	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 13	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 14	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 15	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 16	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 17	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 18	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 19	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Personnel 20	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Total Task Hours		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Task Cost		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Overhead (or Fringe) Rate (Audited Rate)	1.2500	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Total Labor with Overhead		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Fee (10% max rate)	10%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Total Labor With Overhead and Fee		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
PRIME CONSULTANT		Directly Reimbursable Expenses					
Reimbursable Expenses		Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4		Total Cost
Postage		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Copying/Printing		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Travel		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Local Travel		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
PI Meeting Materials		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Focus Group Incentives		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Display Boards		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Miscellaneous		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Other (Description)		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Total Prime Reimbursable Expenses		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
SUBCONSULTANTS		Task Hours					
	Loaded	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4		
Personnel	Hourly Rate	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	Total Hours	Total Cost
Subconsultant 1	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Subconsultant 2	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Subconsultant 3	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Subconsultant 4	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Subconsultant 5	\$0.0000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
Total Task Hours		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Subconsultant Costs		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
Total Costs By Task							
TOTAL COST SUMMARY		Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4		Total Cost
		(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)	(Task Description)		
Total Labor With Overhead and Fee		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Total Prime Reimbursable Expenses		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Total Subconsultant Costs		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
GRAND TOTAL		\$ - 14	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -

APPENDIX C

PROPOSER'S REGISTRATION FORM

PROPOSER'S REGISTRATION FORM

All firms proposing as prime contractors or subcontractors on Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) projects are required to be registered. **Please complete this form and return it with your proposal.**

If you have any questions about this registration form, please call the MAG Fiscal Services Manager, (602) 254-6300.

1. GENERAL INFORMATION:

Name of Firm: _____
Street Address: _____
City, State, ZIP _____
Mailing Address: _____
City, State, ZIP _____
Telephone Number _____
Fax Number: _____
E-mail address: _____
Web address: _____
Year firm was established _____

Check all that apply:

Is this firm a prime consultant? ____
Is this firm a sub-consultant? ____ Identify specialty: _____
Is this firm a certified DBE? ____ If so, by whom? _____
Is this firm currently debarred? ____
Is this firm currently the subject of debarment proceeding? _____

2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Firm's annual gross receipts (average of last 3 years):

_____ <\$300,000
_____ \$300,000 - \$599,999
_____ \$600,000 - \$999,999
_____ \$1,000,000 - \$4,999,999
_____ >\$5,000,000

Information will be maintained as confidential to the extent allowed by federal and state law. The undersigned swears that the above information is correct. Any material misrepresentation may be grounds for terminating any contract which may be awarded and initiating action under federal and state laws concerning false statements.

Name, Title

Date

APPENDIX D

MAG'S KEY DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSULTANT CONTRACTS

MAG'S KEY DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSULTANT CONTRACTS

The Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) requirements in the Code of Federal Regulations Title 49, Part 26 will apply to this contract. A complete copy of MAG's DBE Program is available by request to MAG's DBE Liaison Officer, at 602/254-6300.

The Consultant will agree to ensure that DBEs, as defined in 49 CFR 26, have the maximum opportunity to participate in the performance of contracts and subcontracts financed in whole or in part with Federal funds provided under this agreement.

DBE Participation and Reporting:

Only DBE firms that are certified by Arizona Unified Certification Program (AZUCP) are eligible to be used for this contract. A list of DBE firms certified by AZUCP is available on the internet at <http://www.azdbe.org>. The list will indicate contact information and specialty for each DBE firm, and may be sorted in a variety of ways. However, MAG does not guarantee the accuracy and/or completeness of this information, nor does MAG represent that any licenses or registrations are appropriate for the work to be done.

The Consultant will be required to report monthly on: (1) the utilization of any subcontractors, and (2) any payments made to subcontractors (DBEs and non-DBEs).

Requirement for Proposal:

All firms proposing on this project will be required to include a completed "Proposer's Registration Form" (See Appendix D) with their proposal. In addition, a completed Proposer's Registration Form must be included with the proposal for any subcontractors used on this project.

General Requirements for Proposals and Contract:

All proposers will be required to include the following information in their proposal and contract:

1. A clear and concise description of the work that each DBE will perform
2. The dollar amount of the participation of each DBE firm participating
3. Written documentation of the proposer's commitment to use a DBE subcontractor(s) whose participation it submits to meet a contract goal
4. If the contract goal is not met, evidence of good faith efforts to meet the goal

Contractor and Subcontractor Assurance:

MAG will incorporate into each contract it signs with a Prime Contractor, and require in each subcontract (that a Prime Contractor signs with a Subcontractor), the following assurance:

"The Contractor, Subrecipient or Subcontractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of 49 CFR 26 in the award and administration of USDOT-assisted contracts. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract, which may result in the termination of this contract or such other remedy as MAG deems appropriate."

Prompt Payment Provision:

"The Prime Contractor will pay Subcontractors for satisfactory performance of contracts no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date that the Prime Contractor receives payment from MAG. The Prime Contractor will also return retainage payments to the Subcontractor within fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of satisfactory completion of work."

Prime Contractors must:

1. Provide the Subcontractor with the name, address and phone number of the person to whom all invoices/billings and statements must be sent.
2. Pay Subcontractors and suppliers within fourteen (14) days of receipt of payment from MAG.
3. Stipulate the reason(s) in writing to the Subcontractor or supplier and to MAG for not abiding by the prompt payment provision. Possible reasons include:
 - a. Failure to provide all required documentation

- b. Unsatisfactory job performance
- c. Disputed work
- d. Failure to comply with other material provisions of the contract
- e. Third-party claims filed or reasonable evidence that a claim will be filed
- f. Reasonable evidence that the contract cannot be completed for the unpaid balance of the contract sum or a reasonable amount for retainage.

Subcontractors must:

- 1. Submit invoices or billing statements to the Prime Contractor's designated contact person in an appropriate format and in a timely manner. The format and the timing of billing statements must be specified in the contract(s) between the Prime Contractor and the Subcontractor(s).
- 2. Notify MAG in writing of any potential violation of the prompt payment provision.

MAG will implement appropriate mechanisms to ensure compliance with the requirements of all program participants. The mechanisms MAG may use include, but are not limited to:

- 1. MAG will notify Subcontractors (DBE and Non-DBEs) of the Prime Contractor's responsibility for prompt payment and encourage Subcontractors to notify MAG in writing with any possible violations to the prompt payment mechanism.
- 2. Withholding payment from Prime Contractors who do not comply with the prompt payment provision noted above, where it has been determined by the MAG DBELO that delay of payment to the Subcontractor is not justified.
- 3. Stopping work on the contract until compliance issues are resolved.
- 4. Terminating the contract.

MAG will verify that the work committed to DBEs, at the time of the contract award, is actually performed by DBEs. This will be accomplished by:

- 1. Requiring Prime Contractors to report Subcontractor(s) (DBE and Non-DBEs) work performed in each monthly progress report along with an indication of the number of hours worked, any costs incurred and the amounts paid to the DBE(s).
- 2. Ensuring that DBE participation is credited toward the overall goal or contract goal(s) only when payments are actually made to DBE firms.

APPENDIX E

PROGRESS REPORT FORMAT

(Progress Report Format - SAMPLE)

(Consultant's Letterhead)

April 15, 2000

Alice Chen, Transportation Planner
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North First Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Re: Progress Report No. 3 and Invoice for the Period of March 2000

For Each Task, the consultant is to provide the percent of work completed to date, a narrative describing the work accomplished, data obtained, problems encountered, meetings held and reports and/or data produced. It is the responsibility of the consultant to document that the work accomplished for each task during the reporting period is commensurate with the amount of money billed for the task in the invoice.

The narrative describing the work accomplished should be of sufficient detail to enable the Project manager to clearly understand the progress on the task during the reporting period. Wherever possible, the consultant should submit along with the progress report appropriate documentation of work accomplished, such as partial or complete draft technical reports or working papers, etc.

TASK 1 - DATA COLLECTION

Percent of Work Completed: 100 percent.

Work Accomplished: A database in both hard copy and electronic format was developed and a methodology for keeping the database current was established.

Data Obtained: Information on the transportation facilities was secured for each of the facilities in the study area. The data included, but was not limited to: name, location, and current and historical traffic levels.

Meetings Held: The following meetings were held in connection with the data collection effort:

March 15, 2000, with the MAG project manager to review data collected for the facilities.

March 21, 2000, with the Advisory Committee to obtain input on the data collection process.

March 23, 2000, with MAG staff to review comments on preliminary database.

March 25, 2000, with the public and special interest groups to obtain input on the distribution of the database.

Reports or Data Produced: A database in electronic format was produced and provided to MAG staff on March 29, 2000.

TASK 2 - INVENTORY

Percent of Work Completed: 100 percent.

Work Accomplished: A facilities inventory was completed, and the data obtained in Task 1 were compiled into a Draft Inventory Technical Report for distribution to the Advisory Committee.

Data Obtained: See Task 1.

Meetings Held: The following meetings were held:

March 1, 2000, met with MAG staff to finalize the outline for the Inventory Technical Report.

March 10, 2000, met with the MAG project manager to obtain suggestions on methods for comparing facility information.

Reports or Data Produced: A draft Inventory Technical Report was produced and distributed to members of the Advisory Committee for review and comment.

TASK 3 - FORECASTS

Percent of Work Completed: 100 percent.

Work Accomplished: Forecasts of travel demand on inventoried facilities were prepared for 2000, 2010 and 2020. The forecasts were consistent with County control totals reviewed by the Advisory Committee last month. The forecasts included a breakdown by facility type.

Data Obtained: See Task 1.

Meetings Held: March 21, 2000, met with MAG staff to discuss comments on preliminary forecast results.

Reports or Data Produced: A draft forecasts report was produced and distributed to members of the Advisory Committee for review and comment.

TASK 4 - DEMAND/CAPACITY ANALYSIS AND FACILITY REQUIREMENTS

Percent of Work Completed: 60 percent.

Work Accomplished: An hourly capacity was computed for each of the inventoried facilities using the federal guidance provided by MAG staff.

Data Obtained: See Task 1.

Meetings Held: A meeting was held on March 25, 2000 to discuss the differences between the capacity calculations for this study versus previous studies.

Reports or Data Produced: None. However, a draft set of capacity estimates is enclosed documenting the assumptions and data input used to prepare the estimates.

TASK 5- ALTERNATIVES

Percent of Work Completed: 25 percent.

Work Accomplished: Other regional plans were examined to determine the type of alternatives that were used to meet future demand.

Data Obtained: Regional plans from San Diego, Los Angeles, Denver, Seattle Tucson and Chicago were collected.

Meetings Held: On March 18, 2000, a meeting was held with planners for the Pima Association of Governments to discuss alternatives.

Reports or Data Produced: None.

TASK 6 - EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

Work on this task has not begun.

TASK 7 - RECOMMENDATIONS

Work on this task has not begun.

TASK 8 - IMPLEMENTATION

Work on this task has not begun.

Problems Encountered

Some of the capacity calculations prepared for the study were different from the capacity calculations used in previous studies. These differences were discussed and resolved at a meeting held with MAG staff on March 25, 2000.

Invoice: The enclosed invoice is for the third progress payment of \$17,679.20. The total amount billed to date is \$48,250.00.

Sincerely,

Project Manager Name
Project Manager Title

Enclosure